

2009 PROPOSALS

(WOULD BE EFFECTIVE FOR 2010 SEASON)

These were voted on at the September meeting see blue writing for vote result on each proposal.

#09-01

Proposal submitted by William Kaepfner
Policy Guidelines: Article V Harescramble: Section A

Reason: Riding an age class is a personal statement made to every other racer, the promoter and the district by the rider. They are saying "I am no longer competitive in a normal displacement class in open competition". They are asking us to ignore their previous accomplishments. They are asking to ride "out of their proper displacement class" to race with riders of their "advanced age" and "diminished ability". The rider is telling us that to ride open competition would be unfair to them. Age class declaration must not be used as a tool to "enhance competitiveness" by turning it off and on "as needed" to win on a particular day.

Change: Age class declaration like every other class advancement declaration is permanent.
Vote: 1 in favor , 10 opposed. Does not go to Oct Meeting for final vote.

#09-02

Proposal submitted by William Kaepfner
Policy Guidelines: Article V Harescramble: Section A

Reason: Riding an age class is a personal statement made to every other racer, the promoter and the district by the rider. They are saying "I am no longer competitive in a normal displacement class in open competition". They are asking us to ignore their previous accomplishments. They are asking to ride "out of their proper displacement class" to race with riders of their "advanced age" and "diminished ability". The rider is telling us that to ride open competition would be unfair to them.

Change: Age class participants are not eligible for overall amateur awards.
Vote: 2 in favor , 10 opposed. Does not go to Oct Meeting for final vote.

#09-03

Proposal submitted by Conrad Wesslehoeft
Policy Guidelines: Article 5 Harescrambles Section A

Reason: To increase participation in the Mini Senior class by changing the class from Mini Senior to Mini Super Senior.

Change: Mini Super Senior (12-15yrs) 80cc-112cc 2 stroke, 75cc-150cc 4 stroke. Minimum wheel base 48in minimum rear wheel size 14in. Maximum front wheel 19in. Maximum wheel base 52in. (includes big wheel)
Vote: 0 in favor , 9 opposed. Does not go to Oct Meeting for final vote.

#09-04

Proposal submitted by Sibyl Hunter
Policy guidelines: Article 5 Harescrambles: Section A

Reason: To eliminate the possibility of someone racing either Novice class after they have raced in any of the classes that are not designated A or B.

Change: Add the B classification to the following classes: 125, 200, 250, Open, 4 stroke. ATV 25+
Add the A/B classification to the following classes: Vet (30+), Senior (40+), Super Senior (50+),
ATV Vet
Vote: 5 in favor , 2 opposed. Goes to Oct Meeting for final vote

#09-05

Proposal submitted by Sibyl Hunter
Policy guidelines: Article 5 Harescrambles: Section A

Reason: To keep riders from competing in the Novice classes for several years. To make the novice classes for beginner riders since that is what novice means.

Change: Lightweight & Heavyweight Novice to state that they are for first year racers only. All others need to advance out permanently if they competed in 20% of the scheduled races.

Vote: 2 in favor, 6 opposed. Does not go to Oct Meeting for final vote

#09-06

Proposal Submitted by Jim Barnhart
Policy Guidelines: Article VII Grand Prix: Section A

Reason: Add an ATV 40+ class for senior ATV riders to race and be competitive

Change: Add ATV 40+ A/B class (probationary for 2010)

Vote: 9 in favor, 1 opposed. Goes to Oct Meeting for final vote

#09-07

Proposal Submitted by Jim Barnhart
Policy Guidelines: Article V Harescrambles: Section A

Reason: Change ATV 25+ class to ATV 40+ A/B. Riders in 25+ class are mostly 30+ currently which can ride the ATV Vet calss and there are riders that would fall into a 40+ class.

Change: Add ATV 40+ A/B (would not be probationary for 2010)

Vote: 10 in favor, 0 opposed. Goes to Oct Meeting for final vote

#09-08

Proposal Submitted by Joe Easter
Policy Guidelines: Article III Motocross: Section A

Reason: To change the 65cc class by making it more appealing to kids that are trying to learn how to shift and ride a bigger more powerful bike. Right now the 65cc class is a very broad class being that it is ages 7-11. Most districts and national races have gone to the format of having a 65cc 7-9 and 65cc 10-11 and by doing so it seems as though they have bigger gates because you have more kids from the 50cc 7-8 class running in the class they should be instead of classing down to the 50cc 4-8 so they can run a second class. The 50cc 4-8 is a class that is designed for kids that are just starting out, but it is getting ruined by kids that are 7 & 8 yrs old that are too talented for the 50cc 4-8 class and too inexperienced for the 65 7-11 class. Every class has a JR and SR class except the 65cc class.

Change: add 65cc 7-9 class and 65cc 10-11 class (would be probationary for 2010)

Vote: 0 in favor, 6 opposed. Does not go to Oct Meeting for final vote.